



## **Office of Justice Programs**

Office of Communications

	Washington, D.C. 20531		
GRANT NOTIFICATION	Grant Number:	2016-DN-BX-0152	2
Name & Address of Recipient: West Virginia University 886 Chestnut Ridge Roa		earch Corporation	
Ро	) Box 6845		
City, State & ZIP: Me	organtown, West Virginia 2	6506-6845	
Recipient Project Director/Contact: Mary Jane Buckland Assistant Secretary			
Phone: (304) 293-9233			
Title of Program: FY 16 Research and Development in Forensic Science for Criminal Justice Purposes			
Title of Project: FY 16 Research	FY 16 Research and Development in Forensic Science for Criminal Justice Purposes		
Amount of Award: \$213,735		Date of Award: 0	9/12/2016
Awarding Agency: National Institute Alissa Genovese	e of Justice		
Supplement: No			
FY16(NIJ - S&LLEA DNA/Other Forensics) Pub. L. No. 114-113, 129 Stat. 2242, 2308; 28 USC 530C			
Impact/Focus: Research		CFDA Number:	16.560
As submitted by the proposer: Forensic footwear examination and interpretation is a complex and distributed activity influenced by a host of competing and evolving factors that vary as a function of case attributes and examiner experience. The entire pattern recognition process and ultimate conclusion drawn by the expert decision maker with regard to source is an amalgamation of several sources of variability that are not necessarily independent, nor linearly related. Although most experts can well-articulate their conclusions and justifications for a given case (even in the presence of variations between crime scene samples and known exemplars), it is much more difficult for the community to characterize and quantify intra- and inter-analyst variability in expert decisions across several cases that vary in terms of quality and complexity. Moreover, it is nearly impossible to directly state the probability of a single decision rule let alone a dominating rule. For this reason, the idea of inferring a preference model using a data mining technique is very attractive. Therefore, the aim of this project is to use the dominance-based rough set approach (DRSA) to better discern how examiners interpret the pattern recognition process of footwear comparison from start to finish. With this model, the expert need only answer questions regarding a questioned-source comparison and then provide an exemplary decision (e.g., identification, exclusion, etc.), and through the rough set approach, all available information regarding the expert's findings can be used to produce preferential model(s) that enable an understanding of the decision maker's reason(s) for his or her choice(s). In accomplishing this goal using the aforementioned method, four additional objectives will also be achieved: (i.) quantification of variability in expert decisions via accuracy and positive predictive value, (ii.) identification of factors that affect footwear examination and conclusions via decision rule induction, (iii.) evaluation of decision			



## **U.S. Department of Justice**

**Office of Justice Programs** 

Office of Communications

Washington, D.C. 20531

certainty and lift factor, and finally, (iv.) an evaluation of the interaction between factors (e.g., impression quality, number of concordant randomly acquired characteristics (RACs), examiner years of experience, etc.) and expert decisions.

Note: This project contains a research and/or development component, as defined in applicable law.

ca/ncf

For more information about this grant, contact the Office of Justice Program's Office of Communications at 202/307-0703.