119TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION  S.
To require the Federal Communications Commission to establish a vetting process for prospective applicants for high-cost universal service program funding.
IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES
Mrs. Capito introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on

## A BILL

To require the Federal Communications Commission to establish a vetting process for prospective applicants for high-cost universal service program funding.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Rural Broadband Pro-
- 5 tection Act of 2025".

1	SEC. 2. VETTING PROCESS FOR PROSPECTIVE HIGH-COST
2	UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND APPLICANTS.
3	Section 254 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47
4	U.S.C. 254) is amended by adding at the end the fol-
5	lowing:
6	"(m) Vetting of High-cost Fund Recipients.—
7	"(1) Definitions.—In this subsection—
8	"(A) the term 'covered funding' means any
9	new offer of high-cost universal service program
10	funding, including funding provided through a
11	reverse competitive bidding mechanism provided
12	under this section, for the deployment of a
13	broadband-capable network and the provision of
14	supported services over the network; and
15	"(B) the term 'new covered funding award'
16	means an award of covered funding that is
17	made based on an application submitted to the
18	Commission on or after the date on which rules
19	are promulgated under paragraph (2).
20	"(2) Commission Rulemaking.—Not later
21	than 180 days after the date of enactment of this
22	subsection, the Commission shall initiate a rule-
23	making proceeding to establish a vetting process for
24	applicants for, and other recipients of, a new covered
25	funding award.
26	"(3) Contents.—

1	(A) IN GENERAL.—In promulgating rules
2	under paragraph (2), the Commission shall pro-
3	vide that, consistent with principles of tech-
4	nology neutrality, the Commission will only
5	award covered funding to applicants that car
6	demonstrate that they meet the qualifications in
7	subparagraph (B).
8	"(B) Qualifications described.—Ar
9	applicant for a new covered funding award shall
10	include in the initial application a proposal con-
11	taining sufficient detail and documentation for
12	the Commission to ascertain that the applicant
13	possesses the technical, financial, and oper-
14	ational capabilities, and has a reasonable busi-
15	ness plan, to deploy the proposed network and
16	deliver services with the relevant performance
17	characteristics and requirements defined by the
18	Commission and as pledged by the applicant.
19	"(C) EVALUATION OF PROPOSAL.—The
20	Commission shall evaluate a proposal described
21	in subparagraph (B) against—
22	"(i) reasonable and well-established
23	technical, financial, and operational stand-
24	ards, including the technical standards
25	adopted by the Commission in orders or

1	the Commission relating to Establishing
2	the Digital Opportunity Data Collection
3	(WC Docket No. 19–195) (or orders of the
4	Commission relating to modernizing any
5	successor collection) for purposes of enti-
6	ties that must report broadband avail-
7	ability coverage; and
8	"(ii) the applicant's history of com-
9	plying with requirements in Commission
10	and other government broadband deploy-
11	ment funding programs.
12	"(D) Penalties for pre-authorization
13	DEFAULTS.—In adopting rules for any new cov-
14	ered funding award, the Commission shall set a
15	penalty for pre-authorization defaults of at least
16	\$9,000 per violation and may not limit the base
17	forfeiture to an amount less than 30 percent of
18	the applicant's total support, unless the Com-
19	mission demonstrates the need for lower pen-
20	alties in a particular instance.".